Simon Malpas and Andrew Taylor’s book is a welcome addition to Manchester University Press’s ‘Contemporary American and Canadian Writers’ series. Previous entries in the series include such complex, experimental authors as Paul Auster and Mark Z. Danielewski, amongst whom Pynchon is in good company. Indeed, much of the book is devoted to discussing exactly how we may ‘read’ Pynchon’s difficult, allusive style. The series editors’ foreword states that ‘[c]entral to the series is a concern that each book should argue a stimulating thesis, rather than provide an introductory survey’,\(^1\) and while we may wonder whether any book on Pynchon’s vast, complex fictional world can truly be more than an ‘introduction’, Malpas and Taylor are indeed stimulating. Their study provides a clear, lucid discussion of several key themes in Pynchon’s novels, chief amongst which are paranoia, the emancipatory power of fantasy and alternative modes of perception, and the ‘subjunctive potentiality’ (3) of spaces of resistance. Malpas and Taylor’s analysis is always illuminating, and their analysis of space in particular ensures that their book is a significant contribution to the diffuse field of Pynchon scholarship.

Chapter One focuses on three of the stories published in Slow Learner. ‘Low-lands’ is placed in its historical and cultural context, with incisive readings of 1950s cultural critiques by figures such as David Riesman and C. Wright Mills, who argued that ‘[t]he success of American capitalism had led[…]to the occlusion of dissenting voices from debates about national identity’ (14). Characteristically of their book, Malpas and Taylor examine space, warning that the apparent promise in ‘Low-lands’ of ‘a renewed privatised space and a reconstituted individuality’ (15) may be illusory, as the story’s ending suggests. ‘The Secret Integration’ is read in conjunction with Pynchon’s article ‘A Journey Into the Mind of Watts’, with the authors sensitively charting the disparities between white and black experiences of
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life, as well as the attempt of the story’s children, through their imagined black companion, to resist the racialising discourse of their parents. Malpas and Taylor state that ultimately ‘imaginative intent is obstructed by entropic reality’ (31), an observation that frequently crops up in their later discussions of attenuated resistance. Entropy itself, and ‘Entropy’, is the next topic explored, with clear and concise summaries of the thought of those – Norbert Wiener and Henry Adams – who influenced Pynchon’s understanding of entropy as a concept. Effectively contrasting Adams, who ‘applied the second law of thermodynamics to all processes and systems’ (35), with Wiener, whose conception of entropy allows for less than total pervasiveness, Malpas and Taylor identify in ‘Entropy’ ‘a contrapuntal alternation between a view of the world as rational and contained[…]and one that contains the possibilities of disruption and chance’ (37). In its appreciation of space and resistance in Slow Learner, this chapter effectively introduces the key themes of Malpas and Taylor’s book as a whole, and anticipates the extension of these concerns to Pynchon’s novels in its later chapters.

Chapter Two concerns not V., Pynchon’s next work, but The Crying of Lot 49. Malpas and Taylor’s introductory chapter seeks to justify this achronological sequence by stating that ‘Slow Learner and The Crying of Lot 49[…]are taken as concentrated embodiments of the theoretical and contextual obsessions of a long writing career, but are in no way to be regarded as templates against which Pynchon’s other work is to be measured’ (6). The authors go to some trouble to explain their appropriation of Lot 49 as ‘emblematic of the complexities of Pynchon’s work’ (48), but the idea that any novel, no matter how brief, can be a ‘concentrated embodiment’ of Pynchon’s writing fails to quite convince, considering the significant variation in style and theme across his oeuvre. Happily, Malpas and Taylor’s analysis of Lot 49 is stimulating regardless of its placement in the book. The novel is considered as a detective story, after Edward Mendelson’s influential essay (52), taking the novel’s lack of closure, despite its proliferation of clues for Oedipa the ‘detective’, as crucial. The authors see the world of Lot 49 as a matrix of competing interpretative strategies in which meaning is not an answer but a ‘medium’ (54). For readers, characters and critics alike – Malpas and Taylor provide a précis of two fundamentally different essays on Lot 49 in support of this argument² – the novel is a maelstrom of intertexts, plots and referentialities that can be interpreted almost ad infinitum. This analysis of the novel usefully anticipates Malpas and Taylor’s examination of the complex openness of texts such as Against the Day and Gravity’s Rainbow.
Chapter Three looks at plotting in *V.*, both in the narrative and conspiratorial senses; as in *Lot 49*, ‘[t]he world produced by the novel’s plots[…]remain[s] just at the limits of what can be grasped’ (76). Malpas and Taylor explore the contrast between the ‘chaotic and never fully engaged wandering of Profane’, and ‘the obsessively ordered “hot-house”’ of Stencil’s ‘plotting’ (75), asserting that they are more alike than some might realise; Stencil is barely more engaged with history than Profane, as thanks to his obsession with *V.* he ‘displays an attitude so obsessively focused on a single figure that all other events, even those involving the most extreme suffering and horror, appear only on the sidelines’ (79). Malpas and Taylor share Stencil’s concern with *V.* (though not its intensity), looking at intertexts of *V.* and *V.* through a survey of previous discussions of the novel’s relation to modernism, from Maarten van Delden’s conception of the novel as satirising modernist ideas of coherence and art’s autonomy (82-4) to John Dugdale’s presentation of *V.* as an interrogation of the ‘violence that lies beneath the “mystique” of the modernist image’ (84). Moving from modernism to postmodernism via a Huyssen-inflected examination of Pynchon’s equal and often concomitant use of ‘high’ and ‘low’ art (86), Malpas and Taylor demonstrate how *V.*’s characters are ‘aware of their implication in a field of prior texts and contexts’ (88), going on to discuss the novel’s treatment of gender and bodily transformation, both of which disrupt the idea of the human as postmodernism does (89). *V.* is shown to be a novel that, through its plots, dislocates both humanity and the possibility of interpretation.

Chapter Four looks at *Gravity’s Rainbow*, and how it, even more so than Pynchon’s previous works, ‘piles complexity upon complexity’ (100), by ‘putting at stake the very possibility of reading and by presenting interpretation as a mode of paranoia’ (101). Taking Brian McHale’s influential analysis of the novel as precluding any concrete meaning as their starting point, as well as the critical approaches from their previous three chapters, Malpas and Taylor explore the novel’s anti-foundationalism and its multiple modes and genres of representation (103). Of particular interest is the chapter’s treatment of space, which becomes a central concern in the remainder of the study. The Zone is seen as ‘an anarchic space of possibility and competition from which the new, post-war world will be born’ (107), but as always Malpas and Taylor stress that hope for the future, and the possibility of a space that will become truly resistant to capitalism and the preterition felt by virtually all the characters in the novel (113), is attenuated. As the novel is one of pervasive preterition, the authors go on to emphasise, rightly, how the ‘elect’ are ‘present only as a paranoid projection of an undefinable and inaccessible “They”’ (113), parlaying this trenchant
observation into a discussion of interpretation-as-paranoia, suggesting that this equivalence is so for both character and reader (115). This chapter, as with Malpas and Taylor’s other chapters on Pynchon’s longer, more complex novels, is profoundly concerned with the difficulty of interpretation and reading, and throughout their book the two handle their appreciation of these difficulties with aplomb, offering rich ways into the text in lieu of the possibility of a coherent ‘reading’.

Chapter Five opens by asserting that *Vineland*, with its mimesis of governmental paranoia, has ‘accrued a renewed sense of significance’ (126) since 9/11 and the War on Terror. Sadly there is no extended elaboration upon this interesting observation, which is a shame, particularly considering that Malpas and Taylor’s discussion of echoes of 9/11 in the chapter on *Against the Day* is done so well. This is not to say, however, that the chapter’s exploration of government oppression, and resistance thereto, is not illuminating. Against Alec McHoul and Ellen Friedman’s criticisms of *Vineland* as ‘nostalgic’, Malpas and Taylor write that ‘Pynchon is able to establish a complex series of contrasts and continuations that work to disabuse the reader of any sense that the book is trading in the easy comforts of nostalgia for an earlier, more authentic moment’ (128). For them, *Vineland* simultaneously ‘expos[es] those spectral traces of an alternative identity that attempt to resist the rationalising logic of modernity’, and demonstrates ‘Pynchon’s interest in the gradual co-opting of the counterculture by the government and media alike’ (130). As always in Pynchon, resistance exists more as potentiality than effective practice. Through a reading of the naïve, idealistic efforts of 24fps, the deterritorialising and co-opting effect of television and the novel’s precarious spaces of resistance, Malpas and Taylor show that, unlike in *Gravity’s Rainbow*, ‘[e]lect and preterite are often on close speaking/trading terms’ (146). As such, the tendrils of government, more hands-on than the shadowy ‘They’ of the earlier novel, are everywhere, with the same result – spaces of resistance are only such in terms of potentiality.

Chapter Six, as one would expect from an examination of *Mason & Dixon*, a novel about a pair of cartographers, is profoundly concerned with space. According to Malpas and Taylor, ‘the line[...]inaugurates a wider meditation on the centrality of divisions and demarcations in the American national narrative, their persecutory effect and their usefulness in establishing forms of instructive difference’ (154). The ideological effect of space – ‘the stabilising impetus of mapping’ (163) – is central to this chapter, as is resistance to the cartography of the elect by America’s geography and its peoples; in Pynchon’s depiction of the disenfranchised
Native Americans, ‘the ethnic rationalisation of continental space that the Mason-Dixon Line inscribes is contested by an indigenous population with an alternative geographical sensibility’ (170). This chapter, like the previous one, focuses on the ‘spectral traces’ of an alternative spatial and ideological sensibility, suggested by, for example, ‘a focus on magic and the supernatural, and[...]the playfulness of language itself’ (164). The biggest strength of Malpas and Taylor’s book is the work it does to uncover the spatiality of Pynchon, and in its assessment of *Mason & Dixon* it does so particularly strongly.

Chapter Seven covers *Against the Day*, Pynchon’s longest novel. Stating from the outset that any attempt at countermanding the disorientation and displacement felt by the reader is futile, the chapter posits that the book does not just explore historical anarchism, but is itself an example of ‘aesthetic anarchy’ (185). As with *Gravity’s Rainbow*, the very difficulty of finding meaning in a complex Pynchonian novel is the subject of inquiry, and it is a fruitful way of reading both texts. As a way of providing structure to a reading of this anarchy, Malpas and Taylor relate *Against the Day* to their previous concerns, from spaces of resistance (now more global than ever) to ‘American history[...]as a repeated narrative of aspiration giving way to disappointment’ (186). The totalising effect of the reductive perspectives of technology and capitalism is of importance, and once more Malpas and Taylor assert that ‘Pynchon’s preterite figures are characteristically resilient, ghostly spectres of a system that has exiled them but which cannot completely erase their traces’ (192). The end of the chapter states,

Our belief that the Chums[...]will remain immune from the forces of political tension can only be a tentative one. But it is a hope that is nevertheless reinforced by a novel that, through its structural extravagance as well as its political thematics, has the capacity to open readers’ eyes to the potential for alternative ways of seeing (207).

The potentiality of resistance through new ways of ‘reading’ both literature and the world is most vividly covered in this chapter, which suggests that Pynchon’s longest, most complex novels, while challenging, also offer the reward of new meanings and interpretations which, while they may not carry political power, have a power of their own to discursively shape human experience.

The book’s conclusion takes Michiko Kakutani’s influential designation of *Inherent Vice* as ‘Pynchon Lite’ – a relatively straightforward shorter novel in
the ‘recognisable generic form of private-eye fiction’ (212) - as its starting point. Malpas and Taylor discuss the novel in terms of the concerns of their previous chapters, with the 1960s, California, literary form, resistance and paranoia being paramount. The chapter is half the length of the previous ones, and *Inherent Vice* is compared with six other Pynchon novels, with the result being that each comparison lacks the depth of the excellent work of the rest of Malpas and Taylor’s book. Not quite ‘Criticism Lite’, but it is a shame that a novel so often slighted by reviewers as insubstantial should be itself subject to a relatively insubstantial critique. However, this is not to detract from the coherent and lucid work of the book as whole, nor its importance to the field, particularly to Pynchon scholars interested in space. Malpas and Taylor deliver on their editor’s promise of a ‘stimulating thesis’, and then some.
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